

Jac Capp, Air Protection Branch  
Georgia Environmental Protection Division  
4244 International Parkway  
Atlanta Tradeport-Suite 120  
Atlanta, GA 30354

RECEIVED

AUG 03 2009

AIR PROTECTION BRANCH

July 29, 2009

Re: MACT application comments, proposed Longleaf Energy Station

Dear Mr. Capp:

Please accept these comments regarding the Longleaf Energy Associates, LLC's application for a notice of MACT approval for the Longleaf Energy Station near Hilton in Early County, Georgia.

As a resident of the area to be affected by this proposed project, I oppose the authorization of this permit for the following reasons:

1. The plant's permit will allow for up 158 pounds of mercury to be emitted each year. Most of that will fall into the Chattahoochee and Flint Rivers, Lake Seminole and other smaller creeks and ponds that will be absorbed by the fish. I eat the fish from these waterways and am aware of the negative health effects caused by mercury. The Sate already advises pregnant woman to eat no more than 1 local fish meal per week. We should not be further burdened by more mercury emissions.
2. The plant's permit will allow tons of soot particles to be emitted into the region's air. These soot particles are a source for breathing and heart problems and will increase the need for an affordable, accessible healthcare system—currently lacking in the area.
3. The high level of permitted soot particle pollution could cause the area to be classified in "non-attainment"; a federal designation that would make current necessary timber farming practices, known as "prescribed burns", illegal. As well, this status would mean the area would not receive continued federal assistance money in some areas.
4. The plant's permit will allow tons of sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides and carbon dioxide to be emitted in the local air. These emissions will decrease local farmers' profits from the region's agricultural production.
5. Burning coal creates ash that contains arsenic, mercury, lead, chromium and cadmium- elements that seep into soil. This ash will be stored on-site. The plant's coal-ash storage pond will be located upstream of my property on the Chattahoochee River, threatening my family and my property if there is an overflow. In recent years, weather patterns have demonstrated unpredictable times of high rainfall that cause flood events in the region.
6. The proposed permit does not address maximum achievable control technology. EPA is currently promulgating a revised MACT standard for coal-fired power plants, like Longleaf. Any permit decision related to the plant's MACT approval

- should, at least be suspended until EPA's new standard is finalized.
7. Further studies of other non-mercury metallic hazardous air pollutants cumulative impact on human health and the environment from other regional major and minor source permits should be conducted and studied in comparison with regional demographics, fish consumption inventory, health statistics, and agricultural output.
  8. The region's tourism industry, including outdoor recreation—boating, swimming, fishing; arts and culture; and historic site-seeing will negatively be impacted by the permitting of the Longleaf plant.

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on this proposed project and encourage the EPD to decline the MACT permit for the Longleaf plant.

Sincerely, *Andrew York*

Address: 5155 Gene Terry Rd  
City: Cottonwood State: AL Zip: 36320

Jac Capp, Air Protection Branch  
Georgia Environmental Protection Division  
4244 International Parkway  
Atlanta Tradeport-Suite 120  
Atlanta, GA 30354

RECEIVED

AUG 03 2009

AIR PROTECTION BRANCH

July 29, 2009

Re: MACT application comments, proposed Longleaf Energy Station

Dear Mr. Capp:

Please accept these comments regarding the Longleaf Energy Associates, LLC's application for a notice of MACT approval for the Longleaf Energy Station near Hilton in Early County, Georgia.


As a resident of the area to be affected by this proposed project, I oppose the authorization of this permit for the following reasons:

1. The plant's permit will allow for up 158 pounds of mercury to be emitted each year. Most of that will fall into the Chattahoochee and Flint Rivers, Lake Seminole and other smaller creeks and ponds that will be absorbed by the fish. I eat the fish from these waterways and am aware of the negative health effects caused by mercury. The Sate already advises pregnant woman to eat no more than 1 local fish meal per week. We should not be further burdened by more mercury emissions.
2. The plant's permit will allow tons of soot particles to be emitted into the region's air. These soot particles are a source for breathing and heart problems and will increase the need for an affordable, accessible healthcare system—currently lacking in the area.
3. The high level of permitted soot particle pollution could cause the area to be classified in "non-attainment"; a federal designation that would make current necessary timber farming practices, known as "prescribed burns", illegal. As well, this status would mean the area would not receive continued federal assistance money in some areas.
4. The plant's permit will allow tons of sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides and carbon dioxide to be emitted in the local air. These emissions will decrease local farmers' profits from the region's agricultural production.
5. Burning coal creates ash that contains arsenic, mercury, lead, chromium and cadmium- elements that seep into soil. This ash will be stored on-site. The plant's coal-ash storage pond will be located upstream of my property on the Chattahoochee River, threatening my family and my property if there is an overflow. In recent years, weather patterns have demonstrated unpredictable times of high rainfall that cause flood events in the region.
6. The proposed permit does not address maximum achievable control technology. EPA is currently promulgating a revised MACT standard for coal-fired power plants, like Longleaf. Any permit decision related to the plant's MACT approval

- should, at least be suspended until EPA's new standard is finalized.
7. Further studies of other non-mercury metallic hazardous air pollutants cumulative impact on human health and the environment from other regional major and minor source permits should be conducted and studied in comparison with regional demographics, fish consumption inventory, health statistics, and agricultural output.
  8. The region's tourism industry, including outdoor recreation—boating, swimming, fishing; arts and culture; and historic site-seeing will negatively be impacted by the permitting of the Longleaf plant.

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on this proposed project and encourage the EPD to decline the MACT permit for the Longleaf plant.

Sincerely,



Address: \_\_\_\_\_

City: \_\_\_\_\_ State: \_\_\_\_\_ Zip: \_\_\_\_\_

RECEIVED

AUG 03 2009

Jac Capp, Air Protection Branch  
Georgia Environmental Protection Division  
4244 International Parkway  
Atlanta Tradeport-Suite 120  
Atlanta, GA 30354

AIR PROTECTION BRANCH

July 29, 2009

Re: MACT application comments, proposed Longleaf Energy Station

Dear Mr. Capp:

Please accept these comments regarding the Longleaf Energy Associates, LLC's application for a notice of MACT approval for the Longleaf Energy Station near Hilton in Early County, Georgia.

As a resident of the area to be affected by this proposed project, I oppose the authorization of this permit for the following reasons:

1. The plant's permit will allow for up 158 pounds of mercury to be emitted each year. Most of that will fall into the Chattahoochee and Flint Rivers, Lake Seminole and other smaller creeks and ponds that will be absorbed by the fish. I eat the fish from these waterways and am aware of the negative health effects caused by mercury. The State already advises pregnant woman to eat no more than 1 local fish meal per week. We should not be further burdened by more mercury emissions.
2. The plant's permit will allow tons of soot particles to be emitted into the region's air. These soot particles are a source for breathing and heart problems and will increase the need for an affordable, accessible healthcare system—currently lacking in the area.
3. The high level of permitted soot particle pollution could cause the area to be classified in "non-attainment"; a federal designation that would make current necessary timber farming practices, known as "prescribed burns", illegal. As well, this status would mean the area would not receive continued federal assistance money in some areas.
4. The plant's permit will allow tons of sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides and carbon dioxide to be emitted in the local air. These emissions will decrease local farmers' profits from the region's agricultural production.
5. Burning coal creates ash that contains arsenic, mercury, lead, chromium and cadmium- elements that seep into soil. This ash will be stored on-site. The plant's coal-ash storage pond will be located upstream of my property on the Chattahoochee River, threatening my family and my property if there is an overflow. In recent years, weather patterns have demonstrated unpredictable times of high rainfall that cause flood events in the region.
6. The proposed permit does not address maximum achievable control technology. EPA is currently promulgating a revised MACT standard for coal-fired power plants, like Longleaf. Any permit decision related to the plant's MACT approval

should, at least be suspended until EPA's new standard is finalized.

7. Further studies of other non-mercury metallic hazardous air pollutants cumulative impact on human health and the environment from other regional major and minor source permits should be conducted and studied in comparison with regional demographics, fish consumption inventory, health statistics, and agricultural output.
8. The region's tourism industry, including outdoor recreation—boating, swimming, fishing; arts and culture; and historic site-seeing will negatively be impacted by the permitting of the Longleaf plant.

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on this proposed project and encourage the EPD to decline the MACT permit for the Longleaf plant.

Sincerely,

*Heather Smith*

Address: P.O. Box 82

City: Donalsonville

State: GA

Zip: 39845

RECEIVED

AUG 03 2009

Jac Capp, Air Protection Branch  
Georgia Environmental Protection Division  
4244 International Parkway  
Atlanta Tradeport-Suite 120  
Atlanta, GA 30354

AIR PROTECTION BRANCH

July 29, 2009

Re: MACT application comments, proposed Longleaf Energy Station

Dear Mr. Capp:

Please accept these comments regarding the Longleaf Energy Associates, LLC's application for a notice of MACT approval for the Longleaf Energy Station near Hilton in Early County, Georgia.

As a resident of the area to be affected by this proposed project, I oppose the authorization of this permit for the following reasons:

1. The plant's permit will allow for up 158 pounds of mercury to be emitted each year. Most of that will fall into the Chattahoochee and Flint Rivers, Lake Seminole and other smaller creeks and ponds that will be absorbed by the fish. I eat the fish from these waterways and am aware of the negative health effects caused by mercury. The State already advises pregnant woman to eat no more than 1 local fish meal per week. We should not be further burdened by more mercury emissions.
2. The plant's permit will allow tons of soot particles to be emitted into the region's air. These soot particles are a source for breathing and heart problems and will increase the need for an affordable, accessible healthcare system—currently lacking in the area.
3. The high level of permitted soot particle pollution could cause the area to be classified in "non-attainment"; a federal designation that would make current necessary timber farming practices, known as "prescribed burns", illegal. As well, this status would mean the area would not receive continued federal assistance money in some areas.
4. The plant's permit will allow tons of sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides and carbon dioxide to be emitted in the local air. These emissions will decrease local farmers' profits from the region's agricultural production.
5. Burning coal creates ash that contains arsenic, mercury, lead, chromium and cadmium- elements that seep into soil. This ash will be stored on-site. The plant's coal-ash storage pond will be located upstream of my property on the Chattahoochee River, threatening my family and my property if there is an overflow. In recent years, weather patterns have demonstrated unpredictable times of high rainfall that cause flood events in the region.
6. The proposed permit does not address maximum achievable control technology. EPA is currently promulgating a revised MACT standard for coal-fired power plants, like Longleaf. Any permit decision related to the plant's MACT approval

- should, at least be suspended until EPA's new standard is finalized.
7. Further studies of other non-mercury metallic hazardous air pollutants cumulative impact on human health and the environment from other regional major and minor source permits should be conducted and studied in comparison with regional demographics, fish consumption inventory, health statistics, and agricultural output.
  8. The region's tourism industry, including outdoor recreation—boating, swimming, fishing; arts and culture; and historic site-seeing will negatively be impacted by the permitting of the Longleaf plant.

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on this proposed project and encourage the EPD to decline the MACT permit for the Longleaf plant.

Sincerely,

*Joseph Mellino*

Address: 131 Nathan Lane

City: Danbridge State: GA Zip: 39845

RECEIVED

AUG 03 2009

AIR PROTECTION BRANCH

Jac Capp, Air Protection Branch  
Georgia Environmental Protection Division  
4244 International Parkway  
Atlanta Tradeport-Suite 120  
Atlanta, GA 30354

July 29, 2009

Re: MACT application comments, proposed Longleaf Energy Station

Dear Mr. Capp:

Please accept these comments regarding the Longleaf Energy Associates, LLC's application for a notice of MACT approval for the Longleaf Energy Station near Hilton in Early County, Georgia.

As a resident of the area to be affected by this proposed project, I oppose the authorization of this permit for the following reasons:

1. The plant's permit will allow for up 158 pounds of mercury to be emitted each year. Most of that will fall into the Chattahoochee and Flint Rivers, Lake Seminole and other smaller creeks and ponds that will be absorbed by the fish. I eat the fish from these waterways and am aware of the negative health effects caused by mercury. The State already advises pregnant woman to eat no more than 1 local fish meal per week. We should not be further burdened by more mercury emissions.
2. The plant's permit will allow tons of soot particles to be emitted into the region's air. These soot particles are a source for breathing and heart problems and will increase the need for an affordable, accessible healthcare system—currently lacking in the area.
3. The high level of permitted soot particle pollution could cause the area to be classified in "non-attainment"; a federal designation that would make current necessary timber farming practices, known as "prescribed burns", illegal. As well, this status would mean the area would not receive continued federal assistance money in some areas.
4. The plant's permit will allow tons of sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides and carbon dioxide to be emitted in the local air. These emissions will decrease local farmers' profits from the region's agricultural production.
5. Burning coal creates ash that contains arsenic, mercury, lead, chromium and cadmium- elements that seep into soil. This ash will be stored on-site. The plant's coal-ash storage pond will be located upstream of my property on the Chattahoochee River, threatening my family and my property if there is an overflow. In recent years, weather patterns have demonstrated unpredictable times of high rainfall that cause flood events in the region.
6. The proposed permit does not address maximum achievable control technology. EPA is currently promulgating a revised MACT standard for coal-fired power plants, like Longleaf. Any permit decision related to the plant's MACT approval

- should, at least be suspended until EPA's new standard is finalized.
7. Further studies of other non-mercury metallic hazardous air pollutants cumulative impact on human health and the environment from other regional major and minor source permits should be conducted and studied in comparison with regional demographics, fish consumption inventory, health statistics, and agricultural output.
  8. The region's tourism industry, including outdoor recreation—boating, swimming, fishing; arts and culture; and historic site-seeing will negatively be impacted by the permitting of the Longleaf plant.

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on this proposed project and encourage the EPD to decline the MACT permit for the Longleaf plant.

Sincerely,

*Simone Smith*

Address: P.O. Box 244

City: Colquitt State: GA Zip: 39837

Jac Capp, Air Protection Branch  
Georgia Environmental Protection Division  
4244 International Parkway  
Atlanta Tradeport-Suite 120  
Atlanta, GA 30354

RECEIVED

AUG 03 2009

AIR PROTECTION BRANCH

July 29, 2009

Re: MACT application comments, proposed Longleaf Energy Station

Dear Mr. Capp:

Please accept these comments regarding the Longleaf Energy Associates, LLC's application for a notice of MACT approval for the Longleaf Energy Station near Hilton in Early County, Georgia.


As a resident of the area to be affected by this proposed project, I oppose the authorization of this permit for the following reasons:

1. The plant's permit will allow for up 158 pounds of mercury to be emitted each year. Most of that will fall into the Chattahoochee and Flint Rivers, Lake Seminole and other smaller creeks and ponds that will be absorbed by the fish. I eat the fish from these waterways and am aware of the negative health effects caused by mercury. The Sate already advises pregnant woman to eat no more than 1 local fish meal per week. We should not be further burdened by more mercury emissions.
2. The plant's permit will allow tons of soot particles to be emitted into the region's air. These soot particles are a source for breathing and heart problems and will increase the need for an affordable, accessible healthcare system—currently lacking in the area.
3. The high level of permitted soot particle pollution could cause the area to be classified in "non-attainment"; a federal designation that would make current necessary timber farming practices, known as "prescribed burns", illegal. As well, this status would mean the area would not receive continued federal assistance money in some areas.
4. The plant's permit will allow tons of sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides and carbon dioxide to be emitted in the local air. These emissions will decrease local farmers' profits from the region's agricultural production.
5. Burning coal creates ash that contains arsenic, mercury, lead, chromium and cadmium- elements that seep into soil. This ash will be stored on-site. The plant's coal-ash storage pond will be located upstream of my property on the Chattahoochee River, threatening my family and my property if there is an overflow. In recent years, weather patterns have demonstrated unpredictable times of high rainfall that cause flood events in the region.
6. The proposed permit does not address maximum achievable control technology. EPA is currently promulgating a revised MACT standard for coal-fired power plants, like Longleaf. Any permit decision related to the plant's MACT approval

- should, at least be suspended until EPA's new standard is finalized.
7. Further studies of other non-mercury metallic hazardous air pollutants cumulative impact on human health and the environment from other regional major and minor source permits should be conducted and studied in comparison with regional demographics, fish consumption inventory, health statistics, and agricultural output.
  8. The region's tourism industry, including outdoor recreation—boating, swimming, fishing; arts and culture; and historic site-seeing will negatively be impacted by the permitting of the Longleaf plant.

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on this proposed project and encourage the EPD to decline the MACT permit for the Longleaf plant.

Sincerely,



Address:

P.O. Box 244

City:

Colquitt

State:

GA.

Zip:

39837

Jac Capp, Air Protection Branch  
Georgia Environmental Protection Division  
4244 International Parkway  
Atlanta Tradeport-Suite 120  
Atlanta, GA 30354

RECEIVED

AUG 03 2009

AIR PROTECTION BRANCH

July 29, 2009

Re: MACT application comments, proposed Longleaf Energy Station

Dear Mr. Capp:

Please accept these comments regarding the Longleaf Energy Associates, LLC's application for a notice of MACT approval for the Longleaf Energy Station near Hilton in Early County, Georgia.

As a resident of the area to be affected by this proposed project, I oppose the authorization of this permit for the following reasons:

1. The plant's permit will allow for up 158 pounds of mercury to be emitted each year. Most of that will fall into the Chattahoochee and Flint Rivers, Lake Seminole and other smaller creeks and ponds that will be absorbed by the fish. I eat the fish from these waterways and am aware of the negative health effects caused by mercury. The Sate already advises pregnant woman to eat no more than 1 local fish meal per week. We should not be further burdened by more mercury emissions.
2. The plant's permit will allow tons of soot particles to be emitted into the region's air. These soot particles are a source for breathing and heart problems and will increase the need for an affordable, accessible healthcare system—currently lacking in the area.
3. The high level of permitted soot particle pollution could cause the area to be classified in "non-attainment"; a federal designation that would make current necessary timber farming practices, known as "prescribed burns", illegal. As well, this status would mean the area would not receive continued federal assistance money in some areas.
4. The plant's permit will allow tons of sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides and carbon dioxide to be emitted in the local air. These emissions will decrease local farmers' profits from the region's agricultural production.
5. Burning coal creates ash that contains arsenic, mercury, lead, chromium and cadmium- elements that seep into soil. This ash will be stored on-site. The plant's coal-ash storage pond will be located upstream of my property on the Chattahoochee River, threatening my family and my property if there is an overflow. In recent years, weather patterns have demonstrated unpredictable times of high rainfall that cause flood events in the region.
6. The proposed permit does not address maximum achievable control technology. EPA is currently promulgating a revised MACT standard for coal-fired power plants, like Longleaf. Any permit decision related to the plant's MACT approval

- should, at least be suspended until EPA's new standard is finalized.
7. Further studies of other non-mercury metallic hazardous air pollutants cumulative impact on human health and the environment from other regional major and minor source permits should be conducted and studied in comparison with regional demographics, fish consumption inventory, health statistics, and agricultural output.
  8. The region's tourism industry, including outdoor recreation—boating, swimming, fishing; arts and culture; and historic site-seeing will negatively be impacted by the permitting of the Longleaf plant.

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on this proposed project and encourage the EPD to decline the MACT permit for the Longleaf plant.

Sincerely,

Carla Ward

Address: P.O. Box 755

City: Brinson

State: GA

Zip: 39825

Jac Capp, Air Protection Branch  
Georgia Environmental Protection Division  
4244 International Parkway  
Atlanta Tradeport-Suite 120  
Atlanta, GA 30354

RECEIVED

AUG 03 2009

AIR PROTECTION BRANCH

July 29, 2009

Re: MACT application comments, proposed Longleaf Energy Station

Dear Mr. Capp:

Please accept these comments regarding the Longleaf Energy Associates, LLC's application for a notice of MACT approval for the Longleaf Energy Station near Hilton in Early County, Georgia.


As a resident of the area to be affected by this proposed project, I oppose the authorization of this permit for the following reasons:

1. The plant's permit will allow for up 158 pounds of mercury to be emitted each year. Most of that will fall into the Chattahoochee and Flint Rivers, Lake Seminole and other smaller creeks and ponds that will be absorbed by the fish. I eat the fish from these waterways and am aware of the negative health effects caused by mercury. The Sate already advises pregnant woman to eat no more than 1 local fish meal per week. We should not be further burdened by more mercury emissions.
2. The plant's permit will allow tons of soot particles to be emitted into the region's air. These soot particles are a source for breathing and heart problems and will increase the need for an affordable, accessible healthcare system—currently lacking in the area.
3. The high level of permitted soot particle pollution could cause the area to be classified in "non-attainment"; a federal designation that would make current necessary timber farming practices, known as "prescribed burns", illegal. As well, this status would mean the area would not receive continued federal assistance money in some areas.
4. The plant's permit will allow tons of sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides and carbon dioxide to be emitted in the local air. These emissions will decrease local farmers' profits from the region's agricultural production.
5. Burning coal creates ash that contains arsenic, mercury, lead, chromium and cadmium- elements that seep into soil. This ash will be stored on-site. The plant's coal-ash storage pond will be located upstream of my property on the Chattahoochee River, threatening my family and my property if there is an overflow. In recent years, weather patterns have demonstrated unpredictable times of high rainfall that cause flood events in the region.
6. The proposed permit does not address maximum achievable control technology. EPA is currently promulgating a revised MACT standard for coal-fired power plants, like Longleaf. Any permit decision related to the plant's MACT approval

- should, at least be suspended until EPA's new standard is finalized.
7. Further studies of other non-mercury metallic hazardous air pollutants cumulative impact on human health and the environment from other regional major and minor source permits should be conducted and studied in comparison with regional demographics, fish consumption inventory, health statistics, and agricultural output.
  8. The region's tourism industry, including outdoor recreation—boating, swimming, fishing; arts and culture; and historic site-seeing will negatively be impacted by the permitting of the Longleaf plant.

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on this proposed project and encourage the EPD to decline the MACT permit for the Longleaf plant.

Sincerely,



Address:

P.O. Box 82

City:

Donaldsonville

State:

CA

Zip:

39845

RECEIVED

AUG 03 2009

AIR PROTECTION BRANCH

Jac Capp, Air Protection Branch  
Georgia Environmental Protection Division  
4244 International Parkway  
Atlanta Tradeport-Suite 120  
Atlanta, GA 30354

July 29, 2009

Re: MACT application comments, proposed Longleaf Energy Station

Dear Mr. Capp:

Please accept these comments regarding the Longleaf Energy Associates, LLC's application for a notice of MACT approval for the Longleaf Energy Station near Hilton in Early County, Georgia.


As a resident of the area to be affected by this proposed project, I oppose the authorization of this permit for the following reasons:

1. The plant's permit will allow for up 158 pounds of mercury to be emitted each year. Most of that will fall into the Chattahoochee and Flint Rivers, Lake Seminole and other smaller creeks and ponds that will be absorbed by the fish. I eat the fish from these waterways and am aware of the negative health effects caused by mercury. The Sate already advises pregnant woman to eat no more than 1 local fish meal per week. We should not be further burdened by more mercury emissions.
2. The plant's permit will allow tons of soot particles to be emitted into the region's air. These soot particles are a source for breathing and heart problems and will increase the need for an affordable, accessible healthcare system—currently lacking in the area.
3. The high level of permitted soot particle pollution could cause the area to be classified in "non-attainment"; a federal designation that would make current necessary timber farming practices, known as "prescribed burns", illegal. As well, this status would mean the area would not receive continued federal assistance money in some areas.
4. The plant's permit will allow tons of sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides and carbon dioxide to be emitted in the local air. These emissions will decrease local farmers' profits from the region's agricultural production.
5. Burning coal creates ash that contains arsenic, mercury, lead, chromium and cadmium- elements that seep into soil. This ash will be stored on-site. The plant's coal-ash storage pond will be located upstream of my property on the Chattahoochee River, threatening my family and my property if there is an overflow. In recent years, weather patterns have demonstrated unpredictable times of high rainfall that cause flood events in the region.
6. The proposed permit does not address maximum achievable control technology. EPA is currently promulgating a revised MACT standard for coal-fired power plants, like Longleaf. Any permit decision related to the plant's MACT approval

- should, at least be suspended until EPA's new standard is finalized.
7. Further studies of other non-mercury metallic hazardous air pollutants cumulative impact on human health and the environment from other regional major and minor source permits should be conducted and studied in comparison with regional demographics, fish consumption inventory, health statistics, and agricultural output.
  8. The region's tourism industry, including outdoor recreation—boating, swimming, fishing; arts and culture; and historic site-seeing will negatively be impacted by the permitting of the Longleaf plant.

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on this proposed project and encourage the EPD to decline the MACT permit for the Longleaf plant.

Sincerely,



Address: \_\_\_\_\_

City: \_\_\_\_\_ State: \_\_\_\_\_ Zip: \_\_\_\_\_

Jac Capp, Air Protection Branch  
Georgia Environmental Protection Division  
4244 International Parkway  
Atlanta Tradeport-Suite 120  
Atlanta, GA 30354

RECEIVED

AUG 03 2009

AIR PROTECTION BRANCH

July 29, 2009

Re: MACT application comments, proposed Longleaf Energy Station

Dear Mr. Capp:

Please accept these comments regarding the Longleaf Energy Associates, LLC's application for a notice of MACT approval for the Longleaf Energy Station near Hilton in Early County, Georgia.

As a resident of the area to be affected by this proposed project, I oppose the authorization of this permit for the following reasons:

1. The plant's permit will allow for up 158 pounds of mercury to be emitted each year. Most of that will fall into the Chattahoochee and Flint Rivers, Lake Seminole and other smaller creeks and ponds that will be absorbed by the fish. I eat the fish from these waterways and am aware of the negative health effects caused by mercury. The State already advises pregnant woman to eat no more than 1 local fish meal per week. We should not be further burdened by more mercury emissions.
2. The plant's permit will allow tons of soot particles to be emitted into the region's air. These soot particles are a source for breathing and heart problems and will increase the need for an affordable, accessible healthcare system—currently lacking in the area.
3. The high level of permitted soot particle pollution could cause the area to be classified in "non-attainment"; a federal designation that would make current necessary timber farming practices, known as "prescribed burns", illegal. As well, this status would mean the area would not receive continued federal assistance money in some areas.
4. The plant's permit will allow tons of sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides and carbon dioxide to be emitted in the local air. These emissions will decrease local farmers' profits from the region's agricultural production.
5. Burning coal creates ash that contains arsenic, mercury, lead, chromium and cadmium- elements that seep into soil. This ash will be stored on-site. The plant's coal-ash storage pond will be located upstream of my property on the Chattahoochee River, threatening my family and my property if there is an overflow. In recent years, weather patterns have demonstrated unpredictable times of high rainfall that cause flood events in the region.
6. The proposed permit does not address maximum achievable control technology. EPA is currently promulgating a revised MACT standard for coal-fired power plants, like Longleaf. Any permit decision related to the plant's MACT approval

- should, at least be suspended until EPA's new standard is finalized.
7. Further studies of other non-mercury metallic hazardous air pollutants cumulative impact on human health and the environment from other regional major and minor source permits should be conducted and studied in comparison with regional demographics, fish consumption inventory, health statistics, and agricultural output.
  8. The region's tourism industry, including outdoor recreation—boating, swimming, fishing; arts and culture; and historic site-seeing will negatively be impacted by the permitting of the Longleaf plant.

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on this proposed project and encourage the EPD to decline the MACT permit for the Longleaf plant.

Sincerely,

 Tommy Lynn

Address: 7057 Hwy 374  
City: Douglasville State: GA Zip: 30135

RECEIVED

AUG 03 2009

AIR PROTECTION BRANCH

Jac Capp, Air Protection Branch  
Georgia Environmental Protection Division  
4244 International Parkway  
Atlanta Tradeport-Suite 120  
Atlanta, GA 30354

July 29, 2009

Re: MACT application comments, proposed Longleaf Energy Station

Dear Mr. Capp:

Please accept these comments regarding the Longleaf Energy Associates, LLC's application for a notice of MACT approval for the Longleaf Energy Station near Hilton in Early County, Georgia.

As a resident of the area to be affected by this proposed project, I oppose the authorization of this permit for the following reasons:

1. The plant's permit will allow for up 158 pounds of mercury to be emitted each year. Most of that will fall into the Chattahoochee and Flint Rivers, Lake Seminole and other smaller creeks and ponds that will be absorbed by the fish. I eat the fish from these waterways and am aware of the negative health effects caused by mercury. The Sate already advises pregnant woman to eat no more than 1 local fish meal per week. We should not be further burdened by more mercury emissions.
2. The plant's permit will allow tons of soot particles to be emitted into the region's air. These soot particles are a source for breathing and heart problems and will increase the need for an affordable, accessible healthcare system—currently lacking in the area.
3. The high level of permitted soot particle pollution could cause the area to be classified in "non-attainment"; a federal designation that would make current necessary timber farming practices, known as "prescribed burns", illegal. As well, this status would mean the area would not receive continued federal assistance money in some areas.
4. The plant's permit will allow tons of sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides and carbon dioxide to be emitted in the local air. These emissions will decrease local farmers' profits from the region's agricultural production.
5. Burning coal creates ash that contains arsenic, mercury, lead, chromium and cadmium- elements that seep into soil. This ash will be stored on-site. The plant's coal-ash storage pond will be located upstream of my property on the Chattahoochee River, threatening my family and my property if there is an overflow. In recent years, weather patterns have demonstrated unpredictable times of high rainfall that cause flood events in the region.
6. The proposed permit does not address maximum achievable control technology. EPA is currently promulgating a revised MACT standard for coal-fired power plants, like Longleaf. Any permit decision related to the plant's MACT approval

- should, at least be suspended until EPA's new standard is finalized.
7. Further studies of other non-mercury metallic hazardous air pollutants cumulative impact on human health and the environment from other regional major and minor source permits should be conducted and studied in comparison with regional demographics, fish consumption inventory, health statistics, and agricultural output.
  8. The region's tourism industry, including outdoor recreation—boating, swimming, fishing; arts and culture; and historic site-seeing will negatively be impacted by the permitting of the Longleaf plant.

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on this proposed project and encourage the EPD to decline the MACT permit for the Longleaf plant.

Sincerely,

*Jerry Cummins*

Address: 6359 Cummins R.D.

City: Donsville State: GA Zip: 39845

Jac Capp, Air Protection Branch  
Georgia Environmental Protection Division  
4244 International Parkway  
Atlanta Tradeport-Suite 120  
Atlanta, GA 30354

RECEIVED

AUG 03 2009

AIR PROTECTION BRANCH

July 29, 2009

Re: MACT application comments, proposed Longleaf Energy Station

Dear Mr. Capp:

Please accept these comments regarding the Longleaf Energy Associates, LLC's application for a notice of MACT approval for the Longleaf Energy Station near Hilton in Early County, Georgia.

As a resident of the area to be affected by this proposed project, I oppose the authorization of this permit for the following reasons:

1. The plant's permit will allow for up to 158 pounds of mercury to be emitted each year. Most of that will fall into the Chattahoochee and Flint Rivers, Lake Seminole and other smaller creeks and ponds that will be absorbed by the fish. I eat the fish from these waterways and am aware of the negative health effects caused by mercury. The State already advises pregnant women to eat no more than 1 local fish meal per week. We should not be further burdened by more mercury emissions.
2. The plant's permit will allow tons of soot particles to be emitted into the region's air. These soot particles are a source for breathing and heart problems and will increase the need for an affordable, accessible healthcare system—currently lacking in the area.
3. The high level of permitted soot particle pollution could cause the area to be classified in "non-attainment"; a federal designation that would make current necessary timber farming practices, known as "prescribed burns", illegal. As well, this status would mean the area would not receive continued federal assistance money in some areas.
4. The plant's permit will allow tons of sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides and carbon dioxide to be emitted in the local air. These emissions will decrease local farmers' profits from the region's agricultural production.
5. Burning coal creates ash that contains arsenic, mercury, lead, chromium and cadmium- elements that seep into soil. This ash will be stored on-site. The plant's coal-ash storage pond will be located upstream of my property on the Chattahoochee River, threatening my family and my property if there is an overflow. In recent years, weather patterns have demonstrated unpredictable times of high rainfall that cause flood events in the region.
6. The proposed permit does not address maximum achievable control technology. EPA is currently promulgating a revised MACT standard for coal-fired power plants, like Longleaf. Any permit decision related to the plant's MACT approval

should, at least be suspended until EPA's new standard is finalized.

7. Further studies of other non-mercury metallic hazardous air pollutants cumulative impact on human health and the environment from other regional major and minor source permits should be conducted and studied in comparison with regional demographics, fish consumption inventory, health statistics, and agricultural output.
8. The region's tourism industry, including outdoor recreation—boating, swimming, fishing; arts and culture; and historic site-seeing will negatively be impacted by the permitting of the Longleaf plant.

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on this proposed project and encourage the EPD to decline the MACT permit for the Longleaf plant.

Sincerely,



Address: 5002 Rabbito Forest Rd  
City: Donalsonville State: Ga Zip: 39845

RECEIVED

AUG 03 2009

AIR PROTECTION BRANCH

Jac Capp, Air Protection Branch  
Georgia Environmental Protection Division  
4244 International Parkway  
Atlanta Tradeport-Suite 120  
Atlanta, GA 30354

July 29, 2009

Re: MACT application comments, proposed Longleaf Energy Station

Dear Mr. Capp:

Please accept these comments regarding the Longleaf Energy Associates, LLC's application for a notice of MACT approval for the Longleaf Energy Station near Hilton in Early County, Georgia.

As a resident of the area to be affected by this proposed project, I oppose the authorization of this permit for the following reasons:

1. The plant's permit will allow for up 158 pounds of mercury to be emitted each year. Most of that will fall into the Chattahoochee and Flint Rivers, Lake Seminole and other smaller creeks and ponds that will be absorbed by the fish. I eat the fish from these waterways and am aware of the negative health effects caused by mercury. The State already advises pregnant woman to eat no more than 1 local fish meal per week. We should not be further burdened by more mercury emissions.
2. The plant's permit will allow tons of soot particles to be emitted into the region's air. These soot particles are a source for breathing and heart problems and will increase the need for an affordable, accessible healthcare system—currently lacking in the area.
3. The high level of permitted soot particle pollution could cause the area to be classified in "non-attainment"; a federal designation that would make current necessary timber farming practices, known as "prescribed burns", illegal. As well, this status would mean the area would not receive continued federal assistance money in some areas.
4. The plant's permit will allow tons of sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides and carbon dioxide to be emitted in the local air. These emissions will decrease local farmers' profits from the region's agricultural production.
5. Burning coal creates ash that contains arsenic, mercury, lead, chromium and cadmium- elements that seep into soil. This ash will be stored on-site. The plant's coal-ash storage pond will be located upstream of my property on the Chattahoochee River, threatening my family and my property if there is an overflow. In recent years, weather patterns have demonstrated unpredictable times of high rainfall that cause flood events in the region.
6. The proposed permit does not address maximum achievable control technology. EPA is currently promulgating a revised MACT standard for coal-fired power plants, like Longleaf. Any permit decision related to the plant's MACT approval

- should, at least be suspended until EPA's new standard is finalized.
7. Further studies of other non-mercury metallic hazardous air pollutants cumulative impact on human health and the environment from other regional major and minor source permits should be conducted and studied in comparison with regional demographics, fish consumption inventory, health statistics, and agricultural output.
  8. The region's tourism industry, including outdoor recreation—boating, swimming, fishing; arts and culture; and historic site-seeing will negatively be impacted by the permitting of the Longleaf plant.

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on this proposed project and encourage the EPD to decline the MACT permit for the Longleaf plant.

Sincerely,



Address: 601 S Knox Ave

City: Duette State: GA Zip: 39845

RECEIVED

AUG 03 2009

AIR PROTECTION BRANCH

Jac Capp, Air Protection Branch  
Georgia Environmental Protection Division  
4244 International Parkway  
Atlanta Tradeport-Suite 120  
Atlanta, GA 30354

July 29, 2009

Re: MACT application comments, proposed Longleaf Energy Station

Dear Mr. Capp:

Please accept these comments regarding the Longleaf Energy Associates, LLC's application for a notice of MACT approval for the Longleaf Energy Station near Hilton in Early County, Georgia.

As a resident of the area to be affected by this proposed project, I oppose the authorization of this permit for the following reasons:

1. The plant's permit will allow for up 158 pounds of mercury to be emitted each year. Most of that will fall into the Chattahoochee and Flint Rivers, Lake Seminole and other smaller creeks and ponds that will be absorbed by the fish. I eat the fish from these waterways and am aware of the negative health effects caused by mercury. The State already advises pregnant woman to eat no more than 1 local fish meal per week. We should not be further burdened by more mercury emissions.
2. The plant's permit will allow tons of soot particles to be emitted into the region's air. These soot particles are a source for breathing and heart problems and will increase the need for an affordable, accessible healthcare system—currently lacking in the area.
3. The high level of permitted soot particle pollution could cause the area to be classified in "non-attainment"; a federal designation that would make current necessary timber farming practices, known as "prescribed burns", illegal. As well, this status would mean the area would not receive continued federal assistance money in some areas.
4. The plant's permit will allow tons of sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides and carbon dioxide to be emitted in the local air. These emissions will decrease local farmers' profits from the region's agricultural production.
5. Burning coal creates ash that contains arsenic, mercury, lead, chromium and cadmium- elements that seep into soil. This ash will be stored on-site. The plant's coal-ash storage pond will be located upstream of my property on the Chattahoochee River, threatening my family and my property if there is an overflow. In recent years, weather patterns have demonstrated unpredictable times of high rainfall that cause flood events in the region.
6. The proposed permit does not address maximum achievable control technology. EPA is currently promulgating a revised MACT standard for coal-fired power plants, like Longleaf. Any permit decision related to the plant's MACT approval

- should, at least be suspended until EPA's new standard is finalized.
7. Further studies of other non-mercury metallic hazardous air pollutants cumulative impact on human health and the environment from other regional major and minor source permits should be conducted and studied in comparison with regional demographics, fish consumption inventory, health statistics, and agricultural output.
  8. The region's tourism industry, including outdoor recreation—boating, swimming, fishing; arts and culture; and historic site-seeing will negatively be impacted by the permitting of the Longleaf plant.

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on this proposed project and encourage the EPD to decline the MACT permit for the Longleaf plant.

Sincerely, *Earl Daniels*

Address: *2773 old Whigham Rd.*

City: *Bainbridge* State: *Ga* Zip: *39817*

RECEIVED

AUG 03 2009

Jac Capp, Air Protection Branch  
Georgia Environmental Protection Division  
4244 International Parkway  
Atlanta Tradeport-Suite 120  
Atlanta, GA 30354

AIR PROTECTION BRANCH

July 29, 2009

Re: MACT application comments, proposed Longleaf Energy Station

Dear Mr. Capp:

Please accept these comments regarding the Longleaf Energy Associates, LLC's application for a notice of MACT approval for the Longleaf Energy Station near Hilton in Early County, Georgia.


As a resident of the area to be affected by this proposed project, I oppose the authorization of this permit for the following reasons:

1. The plant's permit will allow for up 158 pounds of mercury to be emitted each year. Most of that will fall into the Chattahoochee and Flint Rivers, Lake Seminole and other smaller creeks and ponds that will be absorbed by the fish. I eat the fish from these waterways and am aware of the negative health effects caused by mercury. The State already advises pregnant woman to eat no more than 1 local fish meal per week. We should not be further burdened by more mercury emissions.
2. The plant's permit will allow tons of soot particles to be emitted into the region's air. These soot particles are a source for breathing and heart problems and will increase the need for an affordable, accessible healthcare system—currently lacking in the area.
3. The high level of permitted soot particle pollution could cause the area to be classified in "non-attainment"; a federal designation that would make current necessary timber farming practices, known as "prescribed burns", illegal. As well, this status would mean the area would not receive continued federal assistance money in some areas.
4. The plant's permit will allow tons of sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides and carbon dioxide to be emitted in the local air. These emissions will decrease local farmers' profits from the region's agricultural production.
5. Burning coal creates ash that contains arsenic, mercury, lead, chromium and cadmium—elements that seep into soil. This ash will be stored on-site. The plant's coal-ash storage pond will be located upstream of my property on the Chattahoochee River, threatening my family and my property if there is an overflow. In recent years, weather patterns have demonstrated unpredictable times of high rainfall that cause flood events in the region.
6. The proposed permit does not address maximum achievable control technology. EPA is currently promulgating a revised MACT standard for coal-fired power plants, like Longleaf. Any permit decision related to the plant's MACT approval

- should, at least be suspended until EPA's new standard is finalized.
7. Further studies of other non-mercury metallic hazardous air pollutants cumulative impact on human health and the environment from other regional major and minor source permits should be conducted and studied in comparison with regional demographics, fish consumption inventory, health statistics, and agricultural output.
  8. The region's tourism industry, including outdoor recreation—boating, swimming, fishing; arts and culture; and historic site-seeing will negatively be impacted by the permitting of the Longleaf plant.

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on this proposed project and encourage the EPD to decline the MACT permit for the Longleaf plant.

Sincerely,



JIM L. RENTZ

Address:

1044 BRINSON AIRBASE Rd.

City:

BRINSON

State:

GA

Zip:

39825

Jac Capp, Air Protection Branch  
Georgia Environmental Protection Division  
4244 International Parkway  
Atlanta Tradeport-Suite 120  
Atlanta, GA 30354

RECEIVED

AUG 03 2009

AIR PROTECTION BRANCH

July 29, 2009

Re: MACT application comments, proposed Longleaf Energy Station

Dear Mr. Capp:

Please accept these comments regarding the Longleaf Energy Associates, LLC's application for a notice of MACT approval for the Longleaf Energy Station near Hilton in Early County, Georgia.


As a resident of the area to be affected by this proposed project, I oppose the authorization of this permit for the following reasons:

1. The plant's permit will allow for up to 158 pounds of mercury to be emitted each year. Most of that will fall into the Chattahoochee and Flint Rivers, Lake Seminole and other smaller creeks and ponds that will be absorbed by the fish. I eat the fish from these waterways and am aware of the negative health effects caused by mercury. The State already advises pregnant women to eat no more than 1 local fish meal per week. We should not be further burdened by more mercury emissions.
2. The plant's permit will allow tons of soot particles to be emitted into the region's air. These soot particles are a source for breathing and heart problems and will increase the need for an affordable, accessible healthcare system—currently lacking in the area.
3. The high level of permitted soot particle pollution could cause the area to be classified in "non-attainment"; a federal designation that would make current necessary timber farming practices, known as "prescribed burns", illegal. As well, this status would mean the area would not receive continued federal assistance money in some areas.
4. The plant's permit will allow tons of sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides and carbon dioxide to be emitted in the local air. These emissions will decrease local farmers' profits from the region's agricultural production.
5. Burning coal creates ash that contains arsenic, mercury, lead, chromium and cadmium- elements that seep into soil. This ash will be stored on-site. The plant's coal-ash storage pond will be located upstream of my property on the Chattahoochee River, threatening my family and my property if there is an overflow. In recent years, weather patterns have demonstrated unpredictable times of high rainfall that cause flood events in the region.
6. The proposed permit does not address maximum achievable control technology. EPA is currently promulgating a revised MACT standard for coal-fired power plants, like Longleaf. Any permit decision related to the plant's MACT approval

- should, at least be suspended until EPA's new standard is finalized.
7. Further studies of other non-mercury metallic hazardous air pollutants cumulative impact on human health and the environment from other regional major and minor source permits should be conducted and studied in comparison with regional demographics, fish consumption inventory, health statistics, and agricultural output.
  8. The region's tourism industry, including outdoor recreation—boating, swimming, fishing; arts and culture; and historic site-seeing will negatively be impacted by the permitting of the Longleaf plant.

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on this proposed project and encourage the EPD to decline the MACT permit for the Longleaf plant.

Sincerely,



Address: 310 N. Knox Ave

City: Donalsonville State: Ga Zip: 39845